

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/050,034	01/17/2002	Jan Simal	449122020600	1197	
25227 759	90 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	& FOERSTER LLP	NGUYEN, DUC MINH			
1650 TYSONS I SUITE 300	BOULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA	22102		2643		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



					/ \/
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	- OK
Office Action Summary		10/050,0	034	SIMAL, JAN	
		Examine	er	Art Unit	
		Duc Ng	uyen	2643	
The MAILIN	IG DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED S THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	specified above, the maximum s	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. days, a reply within the st- statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a atutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
1) Responsive	to communication(s) fil	ed on			
2a)⊠ This action i		2b) This action is			
	oplication is in condition cordance with the pract			ters, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
Disposition of Claims	·	,		,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-1</i>	6 is/are pending in the	application.			
	ove claim(s) is/a	• •	onsideration.		
5) Claim(s)					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-1</u>	<u>6</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			•	
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification	tion is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
10) The drawing	s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
			-	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
				(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
11)∐ The oath or o	leclaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	lote the attache	d Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119				
	nent is made of a claim Some * c)⊡ None of:	for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
· _ ·	ed copies of the priority	documents have be	en received		
	ed copies of the priority			Application No	
				received in this National St	age
	ation from the Internation				3 ·
* See the attach	ned detailed Office action	on for a list of the cer	tified copies not	received.	
				-	
Attachment(s)		*			
1) Notice of References				Summary (PTO-413)	
	n's Patent Drawing Review (i e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o e			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-19	52)
Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,034

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 6-9, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swale et al (5,822,411).

Consider claims 1, 9. Swale teaches a system for providing call charge information in a telecommunication link between a calling subscriber (party A, fig. 3) and a called subscriber (party B, fig. 3), comprising a first terminal connected to a first local telecommunication exchange (party A connects to LEC A, fig. 3); and a second terminal connected to a second local telecommunication exchange (party B connects to LEC B, fig. 3), wherein call charges arising for the telecommunication link are determined in the first telecommunication exchange and corresponding call charge information is sent as message to the second telecommunication exchange such that the call charge information is configured for use in real time while the telecommunication link is in existence (col. 5, ln. 28 to col. 6, ln. 40; figs. 2-3).

Consider claims 6, 14. Swale further teaches the call charge information sent creates a call charge account for the called subscriber in real time (col. 5, ln. 65-67).

Consider claims 7, 15. Swale further teaches the call charge information sent determines a threshold value with respect to an upper limit for the call charges to be taken over by the called subscriber (fig. 3, col. 5, ln. 41 to col. 6, ln. 20).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,034

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claims 8, 16. Swale further teaches the call charge information sent indicates the call charges on a display device of the second terminal while the telecommunication link is in existence (col. 8, ln. 26-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3, 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swale et al (5,822,411) in view of Lampola (6,668,052).

Consider claims 2, 10. Swale teaches that the call charge information is sent to the second telecommunication exchange (column(s) 6, line(s) 40 to column(s) 7, line(s) 35).

However, Swale does not teach that the call charge information is sent to the second telecommunication exchange as APM ISUP message to utilize services and service attributes.

Lampola teaches the use of APM ISUP message in conjunction with call setup from the first exchange to the second exchange (col. 5, ln. 62 to col. 6, ln. 7).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Lampola into the teachings of Swale in order to provide a new type of method and system for signaling used for call setup that enable cooperation between different type of networks in a manner transparent to the user, so that the

Art Unit: 2643

user will perceive it as consistent cooperation regardless of the system to which the terminal equipment is connected.

Consider claims 3, 11. Lampola further teaches the content of the APM ISUP message is determined by APPs (col. 5, ln. 62 to col. 6, ln. 7).

5. Claims 4-5, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swale et al (5,822,411) in view of Lampola (6,668,052) as applied to claims 1-3, 9-11 above, and further in view of Fabritius et al (6,345,182).

Consider claims 4-5, 12-13. Lampola further teaches the APPs comprise an application-independent part that includes information on the APM ISUP message (col. 5, ln. 62 to col. 6, ln. 7). However, Lampola does not teach that the APPs comprise an application-dependent part that includes information on call charge information.

Fabritius teaches the APPs comprise an application-dependent part that includes information on call charge information (col. 6, ln. 14-26).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Fabritius into the teachings of Swale in view of Lampola in order to provide method and system for the communication of tariff information from an external charge determination point to a mobile switching centre acting as charging point for a called mobile terminal, depending upon the classification of the call.

Response to argument

Application/Control Number: 10/050,034

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding the Swale reference, applicant states "after some interaction between calling and called subscribers, is not suited for real time usage on the called subscriber's side." In contrast to applicant's assertions, Swale clearly teaches that his invention allows the charging of a call to be varied as it progresses (i.e., real-time). Furthermore, the CDR in Swale provides data such as tariff (charge band code; column(s) 4, line(s) 41-65).

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-3:30PM.

Art Unit: 2643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Duc Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643

11/13/04